## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office

April 11, 2000 LB 1107

before we come into session someone will be calling around and talking to constituents, it will be on some form that you fill out, some questionnaire, and it will say what...what's the number one issue and what's the number two issue and I promise you year after year, after year the number one issue will be property taxes and the number two issue will be to protect the quality of public schools. I believe that this goes to both of those issues. I hope that in reflection that some of you would change your minds. Those who voted for LB 1107 before, I would hope that you would continue to support it. I believe it's not...certainly for people who own large amounts of property it's very significant, but I would tell you it's just as significant for the people who live in our urban areas who are on a fixed income and own their homes, and you will be hearing from those people next year, I promise you. You look at what's happening with valuations and you will be back next year pledging to doing something to solve the problem, and I would say that this is your opportunity this session to solve that problem. And I would hope at the end of this day we do not have another missed opportunity and I would hope that you would support the override and support the override of the veto. Thank you. I'll return my time to the Chair.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bohlke. Senator Beutler, on the motion to override.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, I feel no differently now than I did during the debate on this bill and therefore, of course, oppose the override. I used to think of the Revenue Committee room as the killing fields, but now perhaps I should think of the Governor's Office as the killing fields. But, in any event, on this particular matter I think the Governor is right and I think the Governor is right because I think that essentially it makes sense year in and year out to look at the array of spending choices that we have and to be equally free to increase or decrease any particular appropriation, whether it deals with a state agency, whether it deals with property tax relief via the school formula, whether it deals with property tax relief by county aid or municipal subdivision aid. There are a whole variety of choices that are going to be out there in front of us in a whole variety of economic contexts. And it still doesn't make sense to me, as